

University of Wollongong Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection
1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2006

Designing effective protected area networks - integration of the tropical cyclone disturbance regime in the Great Barrier Reef Representative Area Program : a GIS application

Sophie Debort

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses>

University of Wollongong

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Debort, Sophie, Designing effective protected area networks - integration of the tropical cyclone disturbance regime in the Great Barrier Reef Representative Area Program : a GIS application, MSc thesis, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2006. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/630>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

**Designing effective protected area networks
– integration of the tropical cyclone
disturbance regime in the Great Barrier
Reef Representative Area Program: a GIS
application.**

By

Sophie Debort

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the award
of the Masters of Earth and Environmental Sciences (research) from the University
of Wollongong, Australia

November 2006

Certification

The information in this thesis is entirely the result of investigations conducted by the author, unless otherwise acknowledged and referenced, and has not been submitted in part, or otherwise, for any other degree or qualifications.

Sophie Debort

01 / 11 /2006

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that the University of Wollongong will make it available for use within the University Library and, by digital copy or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries.

All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis, I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be 'Albert', written over a horizontal line.

Signature

1 \ 11 \ 2006

Date

ABSTRACT

In recognition of the scenic, ecological, and scientific values of the marine environment, attempts at conservation are increasingly recognized. In a world progressively modified by human activities, the conservation of biodiversity is essential as insurance to maintain resilient ecosystems and ensure a sustainable flow of ecosystems goods and services to society. Unfamiliarity with large disturbances that rarely occur has resulted in the neglect of this kind of event in reserve management. Cyclones are extremely powerful events that can damage coastal and marine environments like coral reefs by generating large wave forces, sediment re-suspension and subsequent smothering, influx of large volumes of freshwater and terrestrial sediment due to heavy rains, and winds and storm surge. For example, in the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), a lack of knowledge about large natural disturbances like cyclones and their effect on the marine environment has prevented managers of the Marine Park from explicitly considering such perturbations in planning.

The goal of this study was to place what is known about widespread natural disturbances (tropical cyclones) into the context of marine reserve design requirements and management decision for the conservation of the GBR, Australia. To do so, the newly implemented Representative Area Program (RAP) of the GBR Marine Park (GBRMP) was evaluated against the recently characterised tropical cyclone disturbance regime across the region (Puotinen, 2005a). Recruitment to reefs by settlement of distant larvae via a series of short steps within and between reefs is a key process towards reef recovery. It was then assumed that the reefs that are highly protected (HPR) from human activities should also be relatively cyclone-free, to allow them to act as ‘sources’ of larvae to enable recovery of less protected adjacent reefs after disturbances. The level of cyclone disturbances at HPR was characterised based on its frequency and timing, and its influence on connectivity between HPR (source) and non-HPR (sink) reefs across the GBR.

Even though most HPRs have had time to recover fully between tropical cyclone events at least once over the last 35 years, they typically had a short time to recover between subsequent cyclone events (short recovery periods). Thus, they may

not always be available as ‘sources’ of larvae in a given year, which reduces the effective connectivity between sources and sink reefs in that cyclone disturbance regime. Under such scenario, the RAP may not adequately protect the GBR. This is the case because HPR (potentially representing the best source for support and replenishment of adjacent areas) have not been placed to ensure infrequent exposure to cyclone damage. Because reserves are usually meant to be permanent, their design must be effective even under conditions that may be very different from current conditions. In this context, it is important to consider the history of disturbance in a region as it may determine the level of complexity or fragility that this region can develop between disturbance events. The RAP was developed with the best information available at the time, but the characterisation of the GBR cyclone disturbance regime now available (Poutinen, 2005a) suggests that the timing of the most recent cyclone event is not typical of the 1969-2003 time series. Thus, an ‘insurance’ factor based on Allison *et al.* (2003) was compiled to identify how much additional reef area would need to be set aside to allow for the creation of a new framework accommodating the reality of cyclone disturbance in marine reserve planning. Overall, only for short recovery times (5-10 years) and ecosystem level connectivity between source and sink reefs can a sufficient additional insurance reserve be realistically set aside to provide a buffer against cyclone disturbance. One solution to this may be to create temporary dynamic reserves as needed after severe cyclones. The effectiveness of such measures over the long-term will depend not only on the occurrence and magnitude of other disturbances that may develop synergistically to create more dramatic effects on reefs but also on global climate change. Predicting effects of directional climate change will facilitate the evaluation of the long-term success of a reserve.

ACRONYMS

AML: Arc Macro Language
BOM: Bureau Of Meteorology
GBR: Great Barrier Reef
GBRMP: Great Barrier Reef Marine Park
GBRMPA: Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority
GIS: Geographic Information System
HPR: Highly Protected Reefs
MPA: Marine Protected Areas
RAP: Representative Areas Programme

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Data was kindly provided by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (basic GBR datasets), and Dr. Marji Puotinen (tropical cyclone disturbance data and GIS layers).

Completing my masters has often seemed like a process of learning how not to do research. Despite this, I would firstly like to acknowledge the continual support of my supervisor, Dr. Marji Puotinen who remained approachable throughout the year at times and days I needed and also provided insightful, constructive criticism enabling a better progress.

This project was conducted at the Spatial Analysis Laboratories at the University of Wollongong where access to GIS software was provided. The GIS component would have been impossible without the technical assistance of the following: Heidi Brown (spatial data handling), and Andrew Smith (for the so numerous, inexplicable and random computer bugs). Thanks guys for the hours of endless brain turmoil you saved me and the after hours that kept me from going insane.

I will never be grateful enough to my sponsor for proving the funding and support necessary to get throughout those last 4 years of overseas studies. Thanks Mum and Dad. Merci de votre support et de votre assistance aussi bien sentimentale que morale malgré la distance et le accrochages en cours de route. Merci de m'avoir supporté et d'avoir été à l'écoute quoiqu'il arrive et à n'importe quelle heure de la journée ou de la nuit pour me permettre de rester dans le droit chemin afin d'en arriver là. Promis papa, c'est le dernier diplôme sur lequel je fais mes dents.

I would also like to thank my sister, Caroline, for her support and guidance when it was hard to be far from home and for the fantastic project we're looking forward to together. Merci Caro d'avoir toujours eut le temps et d'y croire assez pour te lancer avec moi dans ce tour du monde. Ca aura été ma motivation pour pouvoir arriver au bout de cette année et un projet grandiose à réaliser.

Finally I'd like to thank my wonderful friends, the ever smiling faces of those around me and the long distance laughs and jetlagged / out-of-schedule extensive phone calls with those further away. Much thanks go specifically to Arnaud, Christophe, Carolina, Mishi, my two amazing flatmates Monica and Claudia, Carlos (Mexicain bien sûr) and the South American crew for assisting me with the recreational side of life at any times. You've made those last 4 years being a real blast. Thanks for the comfort you gave me, that extra boost when I needed, for listening to my winging (and swearing), for making me feel we all where in the same "mouise" and for convincing me I could get through it ... because I did!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certification

Statement of access

Abstract.....	i
Acronyms.....	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Table of contents.....	iv
List of figures.....	vi
List of tables.....	x

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.2	Aims and objectives.....	2

CHAPTER 2: Marine reserves and the context of large natural disturbances

2.1	Introduction.....	5
2.2	Reserve design in the marine environment	6
2.2.1	Marine vs. terrestrial reserve design	6
2.2.2	Design principles for marine reserves.....	8
2.2.3	Ecosystem management : designing effective protected areas	15
2.3	The context of large disturbances	15
2.3.1	Role of disturbance	16
2.3.2	Disturbance, connectivity, resilience and recovery.....	18
2.3.3	Disturbances affecting the GBR.....	19
2.3.4	Integrating disturbance regimes in marine reserve design.....	21
2.4	Managing the GBR Marine Park.....	22
2.4.1	The Great Barrier Reef : introduction	22
2.4.2	The zoning of the GBR	23
2.4.3	The RAP	25
2.5	Using GIS.....	30

Table of contents

CHAPTER 3: GBR cyclone disturbance history - rationale for assessing the RAP

3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Presentation of the tropical cyclone disturbance potential in the GBR	32
3.2.1	Cyclone distribution over the GBR	32
3.2.2	Predicting cyclone damage to reefs	33
3.2.3	Patterns in tropical cyclone disturbance of the GBR	36
3.3	Selecting a spatial unit	40
3.4	Determining levels of protection through the GBR	43
3.5	Analysing descriptors of the cyclone disturbance regime	48
3.5.1	Frequency, intensity and timing	48
3.5.2	Timing	53
3.6	Connectivity	55
3.7	Insurance factor	57
3.7.1	Calculating the insurance factor	57
3.7.2	Applying the insurance factor	59

CHAPTER 4: Evaluating the RAP network – a HPR perspective

4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Timing of cyclone impact	61
4.2.1	Mean length of damage-free interval	61
4.2.2	Maximum length of damage-free interval	63
4.2.3	Timing of most recent interval	64
4.3	Connectivity	66
4.4	Insurance factor	70

CHAPTER 5: Summary and conclusions

5.1	Introduction	74
5.2	Summary : management implications and recommendations	74
5.2.1	Managing the disturbed ecosystem	74
5.2.2	Managing the ecosystem prior to disturbance – insurance reserves	77
5.2.3	Managing the ecosystem after the disturbance	79
5.3	Limitations associated with this research	83
5.4	Conclusions	84

REFERENCES	87
------------------	----

APPENDIX 1	A1
------------------	----

APPENDIX 2	A2
------------------	----

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1. Overview diagram of the relevant fields of literature involved in this research and their relationships..... 5

Figure 2.2. The GBR region. Reefs are represented by black polygons, and the extent of the GBR is delineated by the red boundary (*Source : <http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au>*)..22

Figure 2.3. Zoning of the GBR prior to 2004. Green, orange and pink zones show highly protected areas. (*Source : <http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au>*) 25

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1. The GBR divided into one degree latitude by one degree longitude boxes. The number of cyclones that tracked within each box from 1969 to 2003 is shown: white less than 10, light grey = 10-19, dark grey = 20-29, black = 30 or more. *Note: many cyclones passed through more than one box. Where a single cyclone track crossed the same box multiple times, it was only counted once.* Adapted from Puotinen (2004b). 33

Figure 3.2. The GBR divided into 1° latitude by 1° longitude boxes (blue quadrats) showing the level of organisation of the cyclone damage data at the scale of reefs (blue polygons) and reef sites (red circles). *Note: although each site is indicative of the local exposure conditions, it is not representative of the entire 1km area.* 35

Figure 3.3. Number of reef sites within each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box: white = < 1,000, light grey = 1,000-2,000, dark grey = 2,000-3,000 and black = > 3,000. *Note: the more reef sites within a block, the more likely the presence of damage data by cyclones that pass through that area.* As presented by Puotinen (2005a). 36

Figure 3.4. Patterns in widespread damage of any type across the GBR from 1969-2003: A – mean length of damage-free periods, B – maximum length of damage-free periods, C – number of years since the last damage (as of 2003), and D – timing of the most recent damage-free period, averaged in each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box. The colours of each box indicate the relative number of years for A, B and C: white =< 10, light grey = 10-20 and whether the result was positive (white: disturbed less recently than normal), negative (black: disturbed more recently than normal) or zero (grey: disturbed at the median interval) for D. Adapted from Puotinen 2005a. 38

- Figure 3.5.** Location of 1° latitude by 1° longitude boxes used in the case study. Reefs are shown in blue, damaged sites in red, and undamaged sites in black. Example of widespread damage of any type occurrence is represented as predicted in 1993. Inset maps show A: the low cyclone-incidence box 5 (11°S, 143°E): far north Queensland, 8 cyclones over the 35 year period and B: the high cyclone-incidence box 22 (19°S, 148°E): Townsville-Whitsunday region, 23 cyclones over the 35 year period. 41
- Figure 3.6.** RAP zoning as of July 2004 presenting the location of the different zones and the guide to activities and uses allowed within them. Zones coloured orange, green and pink show highly protected areas. (Source: <http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au>) 44
- Figure 3.7.** The GBR divided into low and high protection zones. The latter is defined as Marine National Park, Preservation and Scientific Research combined). 46
- Figure 3.8.** Distribution of highly protected reefs (HPR). These are the reefs of the GBR that are included in the high protection zones defined in Figure 3.7. 47
- Figure 3.9.** Percentage of years from 1969-2003 during which cyclone damage (widespread damage of any type) could be possible at reef sites exposed to local wind-sea and susceptible to damage. Highly protected reefs are shown in orange. Inset map shows highly protected reefs potentially affected more than 50% of the time (turquoise blue). Adapted from Puotinen, in press, 2005c. 49
- Figure 3.10.** Estimated probability of widespread cyclone damage of any type across the GBR at any time during the period 1969-2003 across each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box. Grey boxes indicate that the probability of damage within the box over the study period was 25% or greater. As presented by Puotinen (2005c). 51
- Figure 3.11.** Calculation of the connectivity index presenting 3 disturbance scenarios: A) no disturbance, B) and C) cyclone disturbance. 56

CHAPTER 4

- Figure 4.1.** Highly protected reefs of the GBR for which the mean length of disturbance-free intervals was ≤ 5 years from 1969-2003. Percentage is given relative to the total area represented by all reefs comprised in the high protection zones. 62
- Figure 4.2.** Highly protected reefs of the GBR for which the maximum length of disturbance-free intervals was ≤ 10 years from 1969-2003. Percentage is given relative to the total area represented by all reefs comprised in the high protection zone. 63
- Figure 4.3.** Timing of the most recent widespread damage-free interval averaged in each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box across the GBR from 1969-2003 showing amount of supplementary time (x times more) highly protected reefs had compared to the typical recovery time. The colours of each box indicate the relative additional number of years: white < 2 , grey = 2-4 and orange ≥ 4 times for time than normal to recover. 64

Figure 4.4. Highly protected reefs of the GBR for which the relative timing of disturbance-free interval was two times or more the typical timing of the most recent disturbance-free interval from 1969-2003. Percentage is given relative to the total area represented by all reefs comprised in the high protection zones..... 65

Figure 4.5. Index of connectivity as measured by the minimum distance between the centroids of each sink reef (non-HPR) to the nearest potential source reef (HPR) displaying > 5 years recovery in average from 1969-2003) : A – spatial distribution of sink reefs less and equally connected to source reefs and B – distribution of distances to source reefs for each individual sink reef from north (10°S) to south (25°S)..... 68

Figure 4.6. Index of connectivity as measured by the minimum distance between the centroids of each sink reef (non-HPR) to the nearest potential source reef (HPR) displaying ≥ 10 years recovery once at least from 1969-2003) : A – spatial distribution of sink reefs less and equally connected to source reefs and B – distribution of distances to source reefs for each individual sink reef from north (10°S) to south (25°S)..... 69

Figure 4.7. Proportion of the GBR HPR sites affected by tropical cyclone disturbance averaged for each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box across GBR from 1969-2003: A - number of HPR reef sites per box for which damage data was recorded, white < 50, light grey = 50-100, dark grey = 100-500 and black > 500 and B - geometric mean of the number of HPR sites showing widespread damage of any type... 70

Figure 4.8. Scenario 1): insurance factor values for tropical cyclones over the GBR from 1969-2003 and associated achievability assuming local connectivity for various recovery times averaged in each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box: A) T = 5 years, B) T = 10 years, C) T = 20 years, and D) T = 50 years. Colour code indicates the feasibility for implementation of the additional highly protected sites in view of the total number of sites present within each box: green = number of sites needed for additional protection < total number of sites, red = number of sites needed for additional protection > total number of sites. *Note: For some boxes, no determination of the insurance factor can be made because no HPR fell within this box ('/' symbol). The insurance factor was calculated using equation [1] + [3].*..... 71

Figure 4.9. Scenario 2): insurance factor values for tropical cyclones over the GBR from 1969-2003 and associated achievability assuming regional connectivity for various recovery times averaged in each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box: A) T = 5 years, B) T = 10 years, C) T = 20 years, and D) T = 50 years. Colour code indicates the feasibility for implementation of the additional highly protected sites in view of the total number of sites present when neighbour boxes sites are included: green = number of sites needed for additional protection < total number of sites, red = number of sites needed for additional protection > total number of sites. *Note: For some boxes, no determination of the insurance factor can be made because no HPR fell within this box ('/' symbol). The insurance factor was calculated using equation [1] + [3].*..... 72

Figure 4.10. Scenario 3): insurance factor values for tropical cyclones over the GBR from 1969-2003 and associated achievability assuming ecosystem level connectivity for various recovery times averaged in each 1° latitude by 1° longitude box: A) T = 5 years, B) T = 10 years, C) T = 20 years, and D) T = 50 years. Colour code indicates the feasibility for implementation of the additional highly protected sites in view of the total

number of sites present anywhere across the GBR: green = number of sites needed for additional protection < total number of sites, red = number of sites needed for additional protection > total number of sites. *Note: For some boxes, no determination of the insurance factor can be made because no HPR fell within this box ('/' symbol). The insurance factor was calculated using equation [1] + [3].....* 73

CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1. Potential extension of the highly protected zones (B) to include sites determining additional reefs to be included into the HPR present in a 1° latitude by 1° longitude box (box 22: 19°S, 148°E) in order to incorporate cyclone disturbance (based on models from 1969-2003). Decision rules based on cyclone damage exposure, the connectivity decline potential and adequacy of biophysical principles application have been applied to candidate reefs (A), the amount of which was recommended using the insurance factor (IF) relating to specific recovery time (T) and connectivity level..... 78

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1. Implications of relative differences between terrestrial and marine ecosystems for the objective and design of reserve networks. From Carr *et al.*, 2003...7

Table 2.2. Biophysical operational principles including recommendations for amounts of no-take areas for each bioregion and each known habitat type. (Source: <http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au>).....27

Table 2.2 Description of the main zone categories designed for protection of habitats and ecosystems under the recently developed RAP zoning of the GBR. Starred items are those that afford a high level of protection. (Source: <http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au>).....28

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1. Summary of the predicted timing and dynamics of cyclone disturbance in the GBR from 1969-2003 for four measures of damage. *Note: the nature of the dynamics were derived from the ‘state-space’ diagram developed by Turner et al. (1993).* Adapted from Puotinen, 2005a,c.....39

Table 3.2. Occurrence of widespread damage of any type at the site versus reef level for the entire time period (1969-2003) for box 5 (11°S, 143°E) and box 22 (19°S, 148°E). Mean and standard deviation (stdev) are shown in grey.....42

Table 3.3. Descriptors of the tropical cyclone disturbance regime in the GBR region from 1969-2003. Adapted from Puotinen, 2005a, 2004b.48

Table 3.4. Proportion of highly protected reefs in the GBR exposed to various frequencies of potential cyclone wave damage.....50

Table 3.5. Descriptors of tropical cyclone disturbance to highly protected reefs of the RAP in the GBR region from 1969-2003 and associated disturbance ranking. Adapted from Puotinen, 2005c.52

Table 3.6. Frequency of damage predicted at highly protected reefs across the GBR region between 1910-2003. The number of affected highly protected reefs and the percentage the sum of their respective area represent out of the total area of highly protected reefs are shown.....53

Table 3.7. Summary of the predicted timing of cyclone disturbance in the GBR from 1969-2003 for widespread damage of any type. Adapted from Puotinen, 2005a.....54